

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE F		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/736,311	12/15/2003	Michael Gray	030499	5474		
26285 73	590 06/28/2005	EXAMINER				
KIRKPATRICK & LOCKHART NICHOLSON GRAHAM LLP 535 SMITHFIELD STREET			NGUYEN, TUYEN T			
PITTSBURGH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2832			
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•									
		•	Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
			10/736,31	1	GRAY ET AL.	Ow			
Offic	e Action Summary	<u> </u>	Examiner		Art Unit				
			TUYEN T.	NGUYEN	2832				
- The MA Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this commun	ication appea	ars on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENE THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If the period for re - If NO period for re - Failure to reply wi Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD F DATE OF THIS COMMUN of may be available under the provisions THS from the mailing date of this commonly specified above is less than thirty (3 ply is specified above, the maximum state that the set or extended period for reply by the Office later than three months an adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(nunication. s0) days, a reply watutory period will will, by statute, ca	(a). In no ever within the statut apply and will ause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be time tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1) Respons	sive to communication(s) file	ed on							
•	* *	2b)⊠ This a		on-final.					
3) Since thi	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
Disposition of Cla	aims								
4a) Of the 5) Claim(s) Claim(s) 7) Claim(s)	1-38 is/are pending in the alle above claim(s) is/are allowed is/are rejected is/are objected to is/are subject to restriction	re withdrawr							
Application Pape	rs								
9)∐ The spec	ification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10) <mark>∐ The dra</mark> w	D) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant	may not request that any obje	ction to the dr	rawing(s) be	e held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	nent drawing sheet(s) including or declaration is objected to		•			, ,			
Priority under 35	ı	•							
12) Acknowled a) All b 1. Ce 2. Ce 3. Ce ap	edgment is made of a claim) Some * c) None of: ertified copies of the priority ertified copies of the priority opies of the certified copies eplication from the Internation etached detailed Office action	documents I documents I of the priority onal Bureau (have beer have beer y docume (PCT Rule	received. received in Applications have been received 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)	·								
	nces Cited (PTO-892)	TO 040		4) Interview Summary					
	erson's Patent Drawing Review (Flosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or IDate			Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/736,311

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-15 and 30-38, drawn to a magnetic assembly, classified in class 336,

subclass 83.

II. Claims 16-29, drawn to a magnetic device, classified in class 336, subclass 200.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single

combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be

separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as a magnetic device

not using a magnetic assembly of invention I. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for

examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the claimed invention:

- Embodiment 1:

figure 1;

- Embodiment 2:

figure 2;

- Embodiment 3:

figure 3; and

- Embodiment 4:

figure 4.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Application/Control Number: 10/736,311

Art Unit: 2832

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to TUYEN T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-1996.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, ELVIN ENAD can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTN 111

Tuyla T. Nguyla

Page 4